*	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/078,256	LI, KENNETH K.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sharon E. Payne	2875
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Sharon E. Payne</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Im</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 May 2005	Time: <u>Approx. 11:00 a.n</u>	<u>1.</u>
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 5, 6, 24, 25, 26 and 27		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	'AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a wr e record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to make claim 6 depend on claim 1 and incorporate some of the language of claim 5 into claim 6 and to make claims 25 and 27 depend on claim 23, adding language from claims 24 and 26. These changes are necessary to make all of the dependent claims narrow the claim upon which they depend. See the Examiner's Amendment..